UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,405	04/09/2004	Miles Paschini	EWIR-001/02US 8429 300933-2005		
23419 COOLEY LLP	7590 06/15/201	0	EXAMINER		
ATTN: Patent (		COPPOLA, JACOB C			
Suite 1100 777 - 6th Stree	t, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20001			3621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/15/2010	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/821,405	PASCHINI ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JACOB C. COPPOLA	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the expiration of the		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) ☑ A reply was received on 24 May 2010 but it does not conon-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S		de attempt at a proper reply, to the		
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.				
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: <ul> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li></li></ul></li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.			
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>				
after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review		
7. X The reason(s) below:				
The reply received on 24 May 2010 does not address November 2009.	ss any of the rejections of the Fin	al Office action mailed on 23		
/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621	/JACOB C. COPPOLA/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		